Saturation of the leading spike growth in backward Raman amplifiers

V. M. Malkin,[1] Z. Toroker,[2] and N. J. Fisch[1]
[1)] *Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ USA 08540*
[2)] *Department of Electrical Engineering, Technion Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel*

(Dated: 19 September 2014)

Backward Raman amplification of laser pulses in plasmas can produce nearly relativistic unfocused output intensities and multi-exawatt powers in compact devices. The largest achievable intensity depends on which of major competitive processes sets this limit. It is shown here that the relativistic electron nonlinearity can cause saturation of the leading amplified spike intensity before filamentation instabilities develop. A simple analytical model for the saturation, which supports numerical simulations, is suggested. The upper limit for the leading output spike unfocused intensity is calculated.

PACS numbers: 52.38.Bv, 42.65.Re, 42.65.Dr, 52.35.Mw

arXiv:1409.5195v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 18 Sep 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The highest laser pulse powers are now achieved through the technique of chirped pulse amplification (CPA)[1–3], whereby short laser pulses are stretched, amplified, and re-contracted. The gratings needed can tolerate laser intensities only up to few terawatt (10^{12} W) per cm^2, which limits the powers that can be reached with tabletop apertures to few petawatt (10^{15} W), for apertures 30×30 cm^2. This limit might be significantly exceeded through the resonant backward Raman amplification (BRA) in plasma[4].

In the BRA scheme, a short seed laser pulse (of frequency ω_b), down-shifted from a much longer counter-propagating pump pulse (of frequency ω_a) by the plasma frequency ω_e, absorbs the pump energy through a resonant three-wave interaction. At an advanced nonlinear stage of the pump backscattering instability, the amplified pulse shape approaches the classical "π-pulse" wavetrain. The leading spike of the"π-pulse" wavetrain is dominant; behind the few first spikes, the pump is nearly completely depleted. The leading spike grows and contracts until the electron quiver velocities become mildly relativistic, whereupon the plasma is terminated before deleterious instabilities develop. The output laser pulse can then be focused within a vacuum region. The unfocused output pulse intensities could reach nearly 10^{18} W/cm^2 for $1/4\,\mu$m wavelength, or 10^{17} W/cm^2 for $0.8\,\mu$m wavelength lasers. The tabletop 30×30 cm^2 apertures would then make feasible 10^{21} W laser pulses for $1/4\,\mu$m wavelength. Experiments have now demonstrated the possibility of reaching unfocused intensities in backward Raman amplifiers nearly that large[5–11].

The major physical processes that may affect the amplification include the amplified pulse filamentation and detuning due to the relativistic electron nonlinearity[4,12–16], parasitic Raman scattering of the pump and amplified pulses by plasma noise[4,15,17–20], generation of superluminous precursors of the amplified pulse[21], pulse scattering by plasma density inhomogeneities[22], pulse depletion and plasma heating through inverse bremsstrahlung[23–26], the resonant Langmuir wave Landau damping[23,25,27–32], and other, see for example[33–36]. Most of these deleterious processes can be mitigated by appropriate preparation of laser pulses and plasmas, choosing parameter ranges and selective detuning of the Raman resonance. Ultimately, the output intensity limit appears to be imposed primarily by the relativistic electron nonlinearity (REN). The major goal of this paper is to determine the maximal achievable intensity of the leading amplified spike in REN affected regimes.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS

To proceed, it is necessary first to outline the relative role of the transverse and longitudinal effects associated with the REN.

Inasmuch as the amplified pulse consumes incident pump pulse, a transverse non-uniformity of the pump intensity does not affect the amplified pulse, as long as the longitudinally averaged pump intensity does not contain transverse patterns. In addition the pump intensity is non-relativistic, so that the pump itself will not be affected by relativistic filamentation instabilities. The amplified pulse, however, reaches much higher intensities, at which point the REN might cause transverse filamentation. This filamentation must be delayed to extend the pulse amplification.

At an advanced nonlinear amplification stage, the amplified pulse intensity transverse profile basically reproduces the transverse profile of the longitudinally averaged pump intensity. The amplified pulse transverse profile has also a logarithmically weak dependence from the transverse profile of the longitudinally integrated small input seed amplitude. Both the longitudinally integrated input pump intensity and seed amplitude can be made uniform enough in the transverse direction, so as to keep the parasitically growing transverse modulations benign through many exponentiations. This will extend the useful amplification until the leading spike saturation limit. This saturation limit, like the transverse filamentation

limit, is imposed by the REN term.

At the largest transverse instability growth rate [4], the transverse uniformity needed to reach the saturation limit without the transverse filamentation appears to be such that about 3 exponentiations could be tolerated. This requirement is mild enough, and might be made even milder through the temporarily randomized transverse phase mixing, for which practical means exist [37].

Note that the non-relativistic laser pulse pump filamentation, whether ponderomotive or thermal, similar to that considered in many papers on the laser driven inertial fusion (see, for example [38]) can be kept benign in BRA even for long enough pump pulses. This is because the non-relativistic filamentation develops on the ion time scale, while the broad bandwidth of BRA allows to use pump pulse with much shorter time coherence scale, like in the technique [37] and simulations [39].

Thus, to assess the largest output intensity, a one-dimensional model may be adequate. The one-dimensional equations for the resonant 3-wave interaction, together with the lowest order relativistic nonlinearity and group velocity dispersion terms, can be put in the form[13]:

$$a_t + c_a a_z = V_3 f b\ , \quad f_t = -V_3 a b^*, \tag{1}$$

$$b_t - c_b b_z = -V_3 a f^* + \imath R|b|^2 b - \imath\kappa b_{tt}\ . \tag{2}$$

Here a, b and f are envelopes of the pump pulse, counter-propagating shorter pumped pulse and resonant Langmuir wave, respectively; subscripts t and z signify time and space derivatives; c_a and c_b are group velocities of the pump and amplified pulses; V_3 is the 3-wave coupling constant (real for appropriately defined wave envelopes), R is the coefficient of nonlinear frequency shift due to the relativistic electron nonlinearity, $\kappa = c_b'/2c_b$ is the group velocity dispersion coefficient (c_b' is the derivative of the amplified pulse group velocity over the frequency).

This hydrodynamic model is applicable for the pump pulse intensity I_0 smaller than that at the threshold of the resonant Langmuir wave breaking $I_{\rm br}$. The motivation for studying specifically such regimes is that for deep wavebreaking regimes the BRA efficiency is lower[4].

The above equations will be solved for a small Gaussian initial seed and constant initial pump with a sharp front.

III. SPECIFYING COEFFICIENTS

The group velocities c_a and c_b are expressed in terms of the respective laser frequencies ω_a and ω_b as follows:

$$c_a = c\sqrt{1-\frac{\omega_e^2}{\omega_a^2}}, \quad c_b = c\sqrt{1-\frac{\omega_e^2}{\omega_b^2}}\,, \tag{3}$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum,

$$\omega_e = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi n_e e^2}{m_e}} \tag{4}$$

is the electron plasma frequency, n_e is the electron plasma concentration, m_e is the electron rest mass and $-e$ is the electron charge), so that

$$2\kappa = \frac{c_b'}{c_b} = \frac{\omega_e^2}{\omega_b(\omega_b^2-\omega_e^2)} = \frac{\omega_e^2\, c^2}{\omega_b^3\, c_b^2}\ . \tag{5}$$

The pump pulse envelope, a, is further normalized such that the average square of the electron quiver velocity in the pump laser field, measured in units of c^2, is $|a|^2$, so that

$$\overline{v_{ea}^2} = c^2|a|^2. \tag{6}$$

Then, the average square of the electron quiver velocity in the seed laser field and in the Langmuir wave field are given by

$$\overline{v_{eb}^2} = c^2|b|^2\frac{\omega_a}{\omega_b}, \quad \overline{v_{ef}^2} = c^2|f|^2\frac{\omega_a}{\omega_f}. \tag{7}$$

The 3-wave coupling constant can be written as[40]

$$V_3 = k_f c\sqrt{\frac{\omega_e}{8\omega_b}} \tag{8}$$

where k_f is the wave number of the resonant Langmuir wave

$$k_f = k_a + k_b,\ \ k_a c = \sqrt{\omega_a^2-\omega_e^2},\ \ k_b c = \sqrt{\omega_b^2-\omega_e^2}\ . \tag{9}$$

The frequency resonance condition is

$$\omega_b + \omega_f = \omega_a\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\omega_f \approx \omega_e$ is the Langmuir wave frequency in a cold plasma. The nonlinear frequency shift coefficient R can then be put as[41–43]

$$R = \frac{\omega_e^2\omega_a}{4\omega_b^2}. \tag{11}$$

IV. DIMENSIONLESS VARIABLES

It is convenient to introduce dimensionless times: $\bar t$, the short seed pulse arrival time to a given location z; and $\tilde t$, the time elapsed after this arrival:

$$\bar t = V_3 a_0\frac{L-z}{c_b}\ , \quad \tilde t = V_3 a_0\left(t-\frac{L-z}{c_b}\right), \tag{12}$$

where L is the plasma length in z-direction and a_0 is the input pump amplitude, and to define new wave amplitudes as follows:

$$a = a_0\check a\,,\ f = -a_0\check f\,,\ b = a_0\check b\,.$$

In these new variables, Eqs. (1) and (2) take the form

$$\left(1+\frac{c_a}{c_b}\right)\check a_{\tilde t} - \frac{c_a}{c_b}\check a_{\bar t} = -\check f\check b\ , \quad \check f_{\tilde t} = \check a\check b^*, \tag{13}$$

$$\check b_{\bar t} = \check a\check f^* - \imath\kappa_1\check b_{\tilde t\tilde t} + \imath R_1|\check b|^2\check b\ , \tag{14}$$

$$\kappa_1 = V_3 a_0\kappa\ , \quad R_1 = R a_0/V_3\ . \tag{15}$$

V. LINEAR AMPLIFICATION REGIME

During the linear amplification stage, and also at the nonlinear stage ahead of the leading amplified spike, where the pump depletion and cubic nonlinearity are negligible, these equations reduce to:

$$\check{f}^*_{\tilde{t}} = \check{b}, \quad \check{b}_{\bar{t}} = \check{f}^* - \imath\kappa_1 \check{b}_{\tilde{t}\tilde{t}} \ . \tag{16}$$

The solution is

$$\check{b}(\tilde{t},\bar{t}) = \partial \int d\tilde{t}_1 \check{b}(\tilde{t}_1,0) Y(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}_1,\bar{t})/\partial\tilde{t} \ , \tag{17}$$

$$Y(\tilde{t},\bar{t}) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \exp\left[2\sqrt{\tilde{t}\bar{t}}\cos\phi - \imath\kappa_1 \frac{\bar{t}^2}{\tilde{t}} \exp(2\imath\phi)\right] \tag{18}$$

This linear solution will be used below, complimentary to the Eqs. (25-26) of the section VII, to determine how much behind the original seed pulse is located the soliton approximating the leading amplified spike in the nonlinear dispersionless regime. For a small seed, the leading amplified spike is many linear exponentiations behind the seed, so that an asymptotic formula easily derived from (17-18) can be used.

VI. ADVANCED NONLINEAR REGIME

At an advanced amplification stage, when the pulse duration is short compared to the amplification time, so that

$$|\check{a}_{\tilde{t}}| \gg |\check{a}_{\bar{t}}|$$

in Eq. (13), Eqs. (13) and (14) can be reduced to

$$r = \sqrt{1+\frac{c_a}{c_b}}, \quad R_2 = \frac{R_1}{r}, \quad b_1 = R_2^{1/3}\check{b},$$

$$f_1 = \frac{\check{f}}{r}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\tilde{t}}{rR_2^{1/3}}, \quad \tau = rR_2^{1/3}\bar{t}, \tag{19}$$

$$\check{a}_\zeta = -b_1 f_1, \quad f_{1\zeta} = \check{a}b_1^*, \tag{20}$$

$$b_{1\tau} = \check{a}f_1^* - \imath Q b_{1\zeta\zeta} + \imath|b_1|^2 b_1, \tag{21}$$

$$Q = \frac{\kappa_1}{r^2 R_1} = \frac{(k_a+k_b)^2 c^2 \omega_b c_b'}{4\omega_e\omega_a(c_a+c_b)}. \tag{22}$$

In strongly undercritical plasmas, where the plasma frequency is much smaller than the laser frequency, $q = \omega_e/\omega_b \ll 1$, which case is of the major interest here, the amplified pulse intensity I can be expressed in these variables as

$$I = \frac{G|b_1|^2\omega_e}{4\lambda_b}\left(\frac{I_0^2}{2I_{\rm br}^2}\right)^{1/3}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$G = \frac{m_e^2c^4}{e^2} = 0.3\,\frac{\rm J}{\rm cm}, \quad \lambda_b = \frac{2\pi}{k_b}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$I_{\rm br} = n_e m_e c^3 q/16$$

is the threshold pump intensity for resonant Langmuir wave breaking.

VII. LEADING SPIKE AMPLITUDE FOR $Q = 0$

Eqs. (20) and (21) will be solved now for small input Gaussian seed pulses of the form

$$b_1(\zeta,0) = \frac{b_{1_0}}{\sqrt{D\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\zeta-\zeta_0)^2}{D}\right]$$

with $b_{1_0} = 0.05$, $D = 1$ and $\zeta_0 = 10$. No auxiliary chirping of the seed pulse is needed here, though it may be useful in less undercritical plasmas[36].

First, consider extremely undercritical plasmas where the group velocity dispersion can be neglected, so that the approximation $Q = 0$ is good enough. The leading spike amplitude $\max_\zeta |b_1|$ as a function of the amplification time τ, calculated numerically, is shown in the Fig. 1. The nearly straight initial part of the curve represents the classical π-pulse regime. In the absence of relativistic electron nonlinearity, this straight part would continue until the applicability limit of the time-envelope equation for the Langmuir wave amplitude.



FIG. 1. The leading spike amplitude $\max_\zeta |b_1|$ as a function of the amplification time τ for $Q = 0$.

The figure also shows an approximate analytical solution. It is obtained as follows. It can be seen that, for a small seed pulse $|b_{1_0}| \ll 1$, the distance from the original seed to the leading amplified spike is much larger than the spike width. Therefore the spike top hardly changes while crossing its own width and can be approximated

by a quasi-stationary moving soliton. This approximation is similar to approximating the leading spike of π-pulse wavetrain by a quasi-stationary moving 2π-pulse[4]. More specifically, in the REN regime, the growing and contracting nonlinear solution, having the linear asymptotics (17) ahead of the leading amplified spike, can be approximated near the top of this spike as follows:

$$\xi = 2\sqrt{\tau(\zeta-\zeta_0)}, \quad \check{a} = A(\xi-\xi_M),$$
$$f_1 = F(\xi-\xi_M), \quad b_1 = 2\tau B(\xi-\xi_M)/\xi_M, \qquad (25)$$
$$A' = -FB, \quad F' = AB^*,$$
$$B' = AF^* + \imath s|B|^2 B, \quad s = (2\tau/\xi_M)^3, \qquad (26)$$

where $\xi_M \gg 1$ is the location of the maximum of the classical 2π-pulse,

$$B = \frac{1}{\cosh(\xi-\xi_M)} = F, \quad A = -\tanh(\xi-\xi_M), \qquad (27)$$

solving Eq. (26) for $s = Q = 0$. The maximum of the 2π-pulse occurs at $\xi_M = \log(4\sqrt{2\pi\xi_M}/b_{1_0})$. For $b_{1_0} = 0.05$, it gives $\xi_M = 6.2$. Solutions of Eq. (26), depending on the parameter s (or τ), generalize the 2π-pulse (27) (corresponding to $s = 0$). Like the 2π-pulse, these solutions satisfy conditions $|F| = |B|$ and $|A| = \sqrt{1-|B|^2}$.

The dotted line in Fig. 1 shows the τ-dependence of the leading spike amplitude $|b_1| = s^{1/3}|B|$, calculated using Eqs. (25) and (26) and maximized over ξ. The top amplitude of the analytically approximated leading spike, $\max_s(s^{1/3}\max_\xi|B|) = 1.26$, is reached at $s = 5.64$ (where $\max_\xi|B| = 1/\sqrt{2}$). As seen, even such a crude zero-order analytic approximation agrees reasonably well with the numerical solution shown by the solid line in Fig. 1. The initial nearly linear part of the curve in Fig. 1 corresponds to the classical π-pulse regime. In the REN regime, the leading spike growth saturates.

VIII. GROUP VELOCITY DISPERSION EFFECT

For less undercritical plasma, group velocity dispersion begins to play a role. In contrast to the π-pulse regime, which is not much affected by the group velocity dispersion as long as the plasma remains strongly undercritical, the REN regime can be substantially modified by the dispersion even in strongly undercritical plasmas. This is because the amplification of the leading spike much slows down in the REN regime, as the growth approaches to the saturation, which gives more time for the dispersion to manifest. That even rather small group velocity dispersion is important is illustrated in Fig. 2. It shows the maximal amplified pulse amplitude $\max_\zeta|b_1|$ as a function of the amplification time τ. The dispersion is characterized therein by the parameter Q, which depends only on the ratio of the plasma to laser frequency ($q \equiv \omega_e/\omega_b$), see Eq. (22). In strongly undercritical plasmas, where $q \ll 1$, one has $Q = q/2$. In nearly critical plasmas, where $q \to 1$, one has $Q = 0.5/\sqrt{1-q^2} \gg 1$. Fig. 2 illustrates the dispersion effect at small $Q \approx q/2$. The figure shows both the π-pulse regime and the REN regimes up to the times when saturation occurs, which are of the most interest here. The π-pulse regime corresponds to the joint straight part of the curves. The absence of a Q-dependence there indicates the negligibility of the group velocity dispersion effect. However, in the REN regime, the Q-dependence becomes noticeable. The maximal pulse amplitude decreases there, when Q increases. This is because the group velocity dispersion tends to stretch the pulses. It also tends to reduce the saturation time.



FIG. 2. The maximal amplitude of the amplified pulse $\max_\zeta|b_1|$ as a function of the amplification time τ for several Q.

Note that the largest growth factor for parasitic transverse modulations the amplification time τ can be evaluated[4] as $\int d\tau \max_\zeta|b_1|^2$.

Note also that the plasma concentration practically allowed here is bounded from below by the requirement that the Langmuir wave phase velocity, $c_f = \omega_f/k_f \approx Q\,c$, be larger than the electron thermal velocity. The energy of an electron moving with the Langmuir wave phase velocity constitutes $Q^2/2$ fraction of the electron rest energy. For $Q = 0.01$, the energy of an electron moving with the Langmuir wave phase velocity is 25 eV. For the electron plasma temperature 10 eV, both the collisional and Landau damping of such a Langmuir wave are small enough for not affecting the BRA regime considered here. Thus, even such small as 0.01 values of the parameter Q are quite practical.

IX. SUMMARY

It is shown that the relativistic electron nonlinearity saturates growth of the leading spike in backward Raman amplifiers before the longitudinal filamentation in-

stability of this spike develops. The time dependence of the maximal amplitude of the leading amplified spike $\max_\zeta |b_1|$ is calculated for various small plasma-to-laser frequency ratios, as shown in Fig. 2. Together with the formula (23), this determines the largest unfocused intensity of leading amplified spike achievable in backward Raman amplifiers.

For example, for $\lambda_b = 1/4\,\mu$m and $I_0 = I_{\rm br}/2$, and $Q = 0.025$ (corresponding to $\omega_e/\omega_b \approx 0.05$), the largest intensity is $8\times10^{17}\,\mathrm{W/cm^2}$. In this example, the plasma concentration is $n_e = 4.5\times10^{19}\,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$, the input pump intensity is $I_0 = 1.7\times10^{14}\,\mathrm{W/cm^2}$ and the pump duration is 0.2 ns. The ability to compress 0.2 ns laser pulses may allow direct BRA of currently available powerful 1/4 micron wavelength laser pulses to ultrahigh powers. The regimes found here can further enhance multi-step BRA schemes[44,45], as well as possible combinations of such schemes with other currently considered methods of producing ultra-high laser intensities, like[46–53].

Note that the largest output intensity here is about 10 times larger than intensities reached in the particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations[54]. The REN regime was not apparently reached in these simulations. This is likely due to the premature backscattering of the pump by numerical noise of the PIC code, which would not be physical noise. Such a parasitic backscattering, whether due to numerical noise or due to physical noise, might have been suppressed (along with few other major parasitic processes), say, by applying selective detuning techniques[15,17–20]. However, these detuning techniques were not employed in[54].

All the above addresses only the backward Raman amplification regimes without strong Langmuir wave breaking. We do not examine here a possibility of an extension of these REN regimes to much higher pump intensities $I_0 \gg I_{\rm br}$, where surprisingly large output intensities, like $4\times10^{17}\,\mathrm{W/cm^2}$ for $I_0 \approx 30\,I_{\rm br}$, were reported numerically[55]. The underlying mechanisms of surprisingly large BRA efficiency reported in[55] for these regimes have not yet been identified, and thus require both further investigation and better understanding.

X. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by DTRA HDTRA1-11-1-0037, by NSF PHY-1202162, and by the NNSA SSAA Program under Grant No DE274-FG52-08NA28553.

[1] D. Strickland and G. Mourou, "Compression of amplified chirped optical pulses," Opt. Commun. **56**, 219 (1985).
[2] G. A. Mourou, C. P. J. Barty, and M. D. Perry, "Ultrahigh-intensity lasers: physics of the extreme on a tabletop," Phys. Today **51**, 22 (1998).
[3] I. V. Yakovlev, "Stretchers and compressors for ultra-high power laser systems," Quantum Electronics **44**, 393 (2014).
[4] V. M. Malkin, G. Shvets, and N. J. Fisch, "Fast compression of laser beams to highly overcritical powers," Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4448 (1999).
[5] Y. Ping, W. Cheng, S. Suckewer, D. S. Clark, and N. J. Fisch, "Amplification of ultrashort laser pulses by a resonant Raman scheme in a gas-jet plasma," Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 175 007 (2004).
[6] A. A. Balakin, D. V. Kartashov, A. M. Kiselev, S. A. Skobelev, A. N. Stepanov, and G. M. Fraiman, "Laser pulse amplification upon Raman backscattering in plasma produced in dielectric capillaries," JETP Lett. **80**, 12 (2004).
[7] W. Cheng, Y. Avitzour, Y. Ping, S. Suckewer, N. J. Fisch, M. S. Hur, and J. S. Wurtele, "Reaching the nonlinear regime of Raman amplification of ultrashort laser pulses," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 045 003 (2005).
[8] J. Ren, S. Li, A. Morozov, S. Suckewer, N. A. Yampolsky, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "A compact double-pass Raman backscattering amplifier/compressor," Phys. Plasmas **15**, 056 702 (2008).
[9] R. K. Kirkwood, E. Dewald, C. Niemann, N. Meezan, S. C. Wilks, D. W. Price, O. L. Landen, J. Wurtele, A. E. Charman, R. Lindberg, N. J. Fisch, V. M. Malkin, and E. O. Valeo, "Amplification of an ultrashort pulse laser by stimulated Raman scattering of a 1 ns pulse in a low density plasma," Phys. Plasmas **14**, 113 109 (2007).
[10] C. H. Pai, M. W. Lin, L. C. Ha, S. T. Huang, Y. C. Tsou, H. H. Chu, J. Y. Lin, J. Wang, and S. Y. Chen, "Backward Raman amplification in a plasma waveguide," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 065 005 (2008).
[11] G. Vieux, A. Lyachev, X. Yang, B. Ersfeld, J. P. Farmer, E. Brunetti, R. C. Issac, G. Raj, G. H. Welsh, S. M. Wiggins, and D. A. Jaroszynski, "Chirped pulse Raman amplification in plasma," New J. Phys. **13**, 063 042 (2011).
[12] G. M. Fraiman, N. A. Yampolsky, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Robustness of laser phase fronts in backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Plasmas **9**, 3617 (2002).
[13] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Relic crystal-lattice effects on Raman compression of powerful x-ray pulses in plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 205 001 (2007).
[14] V. M. Malkin, Z. Toroker, and N. J. Fisch, "Laser duration and intensity limits in plasma backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Plasmas **19**, 023 109 (2012).
[15] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Key plasma parameters for resonant backward Raman amplification in plasma," Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **223**, 1157 (2014).
[16] G. Lehmann and K. H. Spatschek, "Non-filamentated ultra-intense and ultra-short pulse fronts in three-dimensional Raman seed amplification," Phys. Plasmas **21**, 053 101 (2014).
[17] V. M. Malkin, G. Shvets, and N. J. Fisch, "Detuned Raman amplification of short laser pulses in plasma," Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1208 (2000).
[18] V. M. Malkin, G. Shvets, and N. J. Fisch, "Ultra-powerful compact amplifiers for short laser pulses," Phys. Plasmas **7**, 2232 (2000).
[19] V. M. Malkin, Y. A. Tsidulko, and N. J. Fisch, "Stimulated Raman scattering of rapidly amplified short laser pulses," Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4068 (2000).
[20] A. A. Solodov, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Pump side-scattering in ultrapowerful backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Rev. E **69**, 066 413 (2004).
[21] Y. A. Tsidulko, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Suppression of superluminous precursors in high-power backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 235 004 (2002).
[22] A. A. Solodov, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Random density inhomogeneities and focusability of the output pulses for plasma-based powerful backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Plasmas **10**, 2540 (2003).
[23] V. M. Malkin, N. J. Fisch, and J. S. Wurtele, "Compression of powerful x-ray pulses to attosecond durations by stimulated Raman backscattering in plasmas," Phys. Rev. E **75**, 026 404 (2007).
[24] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Quasitransient regimes of backward Raman amplification of intense x-ray pulses," Phys. Rev. E **80**, 046 409 (2009).

[25] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Quasitransient backward Raman amplification of powerful laser pulses in plasma with multicharged ions," Phys. Plasmas **17**, 073 109 (2010).
[26] A. A. Balakin, N. J. Fisch, G. M. Fraiman, V. M. Malkin, and Z. Toroker, "Numerical modeling of quasitransient backward Raman amplification of laser pulses in moderately undercritical plasmas with multicharged ions," Phys. Plasmas **18**, 102 311 (2011).
[27] M. S. Hur, R. R. Lindberg, A. E. Charman, J. S. Wurtele, and H. Suk, "Electron Kinetic Effects on Raman Backscatter in Plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 115 003 (2005).
[28] N. Yampolsky and N. Fisch, "Effect of nonlinear Landau damping in plasma-based backward Raman amplifier," Phys. Plasmas **16**, 072 105 (2009).
[29] N. Yampolsky and N. Fisch, "Limiting effects on laser compression by resonant backward Raman scattering in modern experiments," Physics of Plasmas **18**, 056 711 (2011).
[30] D. Strozzi, E. Williams, H. Rose, D. Hinkel, A. Langdon, and J. Banks, "Threshold for electron trapping nonlinearity in Langmuir waves," Phys. Plasmas **19**, 112 306 (2012).
[31] Z. Wu, Y. Zuo, J. Su, L. Liu, Z. Zhang, and X. Wei, "Production of single pulse by Landau damping for backward Raman amplification in plasma," IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science **42**, 1704–1708 (2014).
[32] S. Depierreux, V. Yahia, C. Goyon, G. Loisel, P.-E. Masson-Laborde, N. Borisenko, A. Orekhov, O. Rosmej, T. Rienecker, and C. Labaune, "Laser light triggers increased Raman amplification in the regime of nonlinear Landau damping," Nature Communications **5**, 4158 (2014).
[33] D. S. Clark and N. J. Fisch, "Operating regime for a backward Raman laser amplifier in preformed plasma," Phys. Plasmas **10**, 3363 (2003).
[34] N. A. Yampolsky, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Finite-duration seeding effects in powerful backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Rev. E **69**, 036 401 (2004).
[35] Z. Toroker, V. M. Malkin, A. A. Balakin, G. M. Fraiman, and N. J. Fisch, "Geometrical constraints on plasma couplers for Raman compression," Phys. Plasmas **19**, 083 110 (2012).
[36] Z. Toroker, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Seed Laser Chirping for Enhanced Backward Raman Amplification in Plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 085 003 (2012).
[37] S. Skupsky, R. Short, T. Kessler, R. Craxton, S. Letzring, and J. Soures, "Improved laser-beam uniformity using the angular dispersion of frequency-modulated light," Journal of Applied Physics **66**, 3456–3462 (1989), cited By (since 1996)390.
[38] R. L. Berger, B. F. Lasinski, T. B. Kaiser, E. A. Williams, A. B. Langdon, and B. I. Cohen, "Theory and three-dimensional simulation of light filamentation in laser-produced plasma," Physics of Fluids **B 10**, 2243 (1993).
[39] A. A. Balakin, G. M. Fraiman, N. J. Fisch, and V. M. Malkin, "Noise suppression and enhanced focusability in plasma Raman amplifier with multi-frequency pump," Phys. Plasmas **10**, 4856 (2003).
[40] W. L. Kruer, *The Physics of Laser Plasma Interactions* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1988).
[41] A. G. Litvak, "Finite-amplitude wave beams in a magnetoactive plasma," Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. [Sov. Phys. JETP] **57 [30]**, 629 [344] (1969 [1970]).
[42] C. Max, J. Arons, and A. B. Langdon, "Self-modulation and self-focusing of electromagnetic waves in plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett **33**, 209 (1974).
[43] G.-Z. Sun, E. Ott, Y. C. Lee, and P. Guzdar, "Self-focusing of short intense pulses in plasmas," Phys. Fluids **30**, 526 (1987).
[44] N. J. Fisch and V. M. Malkin, "Generation of ultrahigh intensity laser pulses," Phys. Plasmas **10**, 2056 (2003).
[45] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Manipulating ultra-intense laser pulses in plasmas," Phys. Plasmas **12**, 044 507 (2005).
[46] G. Shvets, N. J. Fisch, A. Pukhov, and J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, "Supperradiant amplification of an ultrashort laser pulse in a plasma by a counterpropagating pump," Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4879 (1998).
[47] L. Lancia, J.-R. Marquès, M. Nakatsutsumi, C. Riconda, S. Weber, S. Hüller, A. Mančić, P. Antici, V. T. Tikhonchuk, A. Héron, P. Audebert, and J. Fuchs, "Experimental Evidence of Short Light Pulse Amplification Using Strong-Coupling Stimulated Brillouin Scattering in the Pump Depletion Regime," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 025 001 (2010).
[48] A. V. Korzhimanov, A. A. Gonoskov, E. A. Khazanov, and A. M. Sergeev, "Horizons of petawatt laser technology," Physics-Uspekhi **54**, 9–28 (2011).
[49] A. Di Piazza, C. Müller, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, "Extremely high-intensity laser interactions with fundamental quantum systems," Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1177–1228 (2012).
[50] G. A. Mourou, N. J. Fisch, V. M. Malkin, Z. Toroker, E. A. Khazanov, A. M. Sergeev, T. Tajima, and B. L. Garrec, "Exawatt-Zettawatt pulse generation and applications," Opt. Commun. **285**, 720–724 (2012).
[51] S. V. Bulanov, T. Z. Esirkepov, M. Kando, A. S. Pirozhkov, and N. N. Rozanov, "Relativistic mirrors in plasmas novel results and perspectives," Physics-Uspekhi **56**, 429–464 (2013).
[52] S. Weber, C. Riconda, L. Lancia, J.-R. Marquès, G. A. Mourou, and J. Fuchs, "Amplification of Ultrashort Laser Pulses by Brillouin Backscattering in Plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 055 004 (2013).
[53] M. Tamburini, A. Di Piazza, T. V. Liseykina, and C. H. Keitel, "Plasma-Based Generation and Control of a Single Few-Cycle High-Energy Ultrahigh-Intensity Laser Pulse," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 025 005 (2014).
[54] R. M. G. M. Trines, F. Fiúza, R. Bingham, R. A. Fonseca, L. O. Silva, R. A. Cairns, and P. A. Norreys, "Production of Picosecond, Kilojoule, and Petawatt Laser Pulses via Raman Amplification of Nanosecond Pulses," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 105 002 (2011).
[55] R. M. G. M. Trines, F. Fiuza, R. Bingham, R. A. Fonseca, L. O. Silva, R. A. Cairns, and P. A. Norreys, "Simulations of efficient Raman amplification into the multipetawatt regime," Nature Phys. **7**, 87 (2011).